

## Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

**Instructions:** Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:Loc	al regulatory authority: Lyon County
Property address: 3076 330 <sup>th</sup> Ave Marshall, MN	
Owner/representative: Coudron Estate	Owner's phone: 320-979-1808
Brief system description: old system unknown components	
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/16/2021	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
in Local Ordinance.)  *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable	e)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immin	ent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) —	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) —	
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prote	
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
install new system up to todays state and county codes	
Certification	
abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water us	made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible age.
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information
Business name: A & T Septic and Excavating Services	Certification number: 3176
Inspector signature:	License number: 1608
(This document has been electronically signed	Phone: 320-894-8779
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit
☐ Soil observation logs ☐ Locally required forms	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit

1. Impact on public health - Compliance component #1 of 5 Attached supporting documentation: Compliance criteria: ☐ Yes\* 図 No Other: System discharges sewage to the ground surface Not applicable ☐ Yes\* ☒ No System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. ☐ Yes\* 
☐ No System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: site visit 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5 Attached supporting documentation: Compliance criteria: ☐ Yes\* ⊠ No ☐ Pumped at time of inspection System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, Name of maintenance business: or other pit? License number of maintenance business: ☐ Yes\* ⊠ No Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (must be within three years) (mm/dd/yyyy): If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Any "yes" answer above indicates the system Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) is failing to protect groundwater. ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below) ☐ Other: Describe verification methods and results: unknown, no easy tank access

	The second secon
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsec ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	ured?
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	? ☐ Yes* ⊠ No ☐ Unknown
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
site visit, no lids or electrical components above ground	
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No If  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No If  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	f "yes", A below is require
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	
Compliance criteria:	i.
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Yes No	
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	i.
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	i,
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	

### 5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5 □ Unknown Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy) Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Yes ⊠ No Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach) Two previous verifications of required vertical Compliance criteria (select one): separation (Attach) ☐ Yes ⊠ No\* 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, Not applicable (No soil treatment area) and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. ☐ Yes ☐ No\* Indicate depths or elevations 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance A. Bottom of distribution media systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food. B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock beverage, or lodging establishment: 0 C. System separation Drainfield has a three-foot vertical D. Required compliance separation\* 36 separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.\* \*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" ☐ Yes ☐ No\* systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080, 2350 or 7080, 2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

saturated soil or bedrock.

failing to protect groundwater.

soil borings completed and attached

Describe verification methods and results:

\*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is



# Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

v 04.01.2021

(Date)		(License #)			(Signature)		3	(Designer/Inspector)	(Desi
8/16/2021		1608			Con			Aaron Thompson	Aaı
			ules and laws	cable ordinances, r	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	this work in accor	completed	ify that I have	I hereby cert
									Comments
							_		
Friable	Moderate	Blocky		Concentrations, depletions.		10YR 3/1	<35%	Clay Loam	30+
Friable	Weak	Blocky				10YR 5/4	<35%	Loam	20-30
Friable	Weak	Granular				10YR 2/1	<35%	Loam	0-20
Consistence	Grade	Shape I-	Indicator(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Matrix Color(s)	Rock Frag. %	Texture	Depth (in)
Auger		Observation Type:	Obse					Observation #/Location:	Observation
08/16/21	0	Date			dry	д	of Day:	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Weather Con
Elevation:	Limiting Layer Elevation:		421 B		Soil survey map units:	Soil	trees		Vegetation:
benchmark:	Elevation	Linear	Convex, Linear	Slope shape	Slope %: 3.0	Shoulder	one)	Landscape Position: (select one)	Landscape Po
Organic Matter	Bedrock Orga		Till Alluvium	Loess 🗸	Outwash Lacustrine	at apply)	eck all th	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	Soil parent m
arshall, MN	3076 330th Ave Marshall, MN	30	Location / Address:	Locatio		Coudron Estate	c		Client:
CANADA BER COLUMN		The second secon	The second secon						



# Soil Observation Log

PROGRAM	いと					Project ID.			V 04.01.2021
Client:		ა	Coudron Estate		Locatio	Location / Address:	30:	3076 330th Ave Marshall, MN	rshall, MN
Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	:erial(s): (Ch	eck all tha	at apply)	Outwash Lacustrine	Loess 🗸	Till Alluvium		Bedrock Organ	Organic Matter
Landscape Position: (select one)	tion: (select	one)	Shoulder	Slope %: 3.0	Slope shape	Convex, Linear	Linear	Elevation- b	Elevation-relative to benchmark:
Vegetation:		trees	Soi	Soil survey map units:		421 B		Limiting Layer Elevation:	levation:
Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	tions/Time	of Day:		dry			Date	08	08/16/21
Observation #/Location:	#/Location:	2				Obse	Observation Type:		Auger
		Rock			7	la di antan/a	-	Structure	
Depth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
0-18	Loam	<35%	10YR 2/1				Granular	Weak	Friable
2		25.00	10YR 5/4				Blocky	Weak	Friable
76-01	Logill	2000					,		
32+	Clay Loam	<35%	10YR 3/2		depletions.		Blocky	Moderate	Friable
Comments									
I hereby certify	that I have	completed	this work in acco	ydance with all appl	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	ules and laws			
Aaro	Aaron Thompson		L	1/2			1608	201	8/16/2021
(Design	(Designer/Inspector)	2		(Signature)			(License #)		(pare)

### Proposed Design Map

	Project ID:	v 03.01.2021
Property Owner/Client:	Coudron Estate	
	No property lines within 50 feet	1 N
	Shows Joid Sorage	
	100 t Feet	
O B.	well Shed	Sheel
Map scale:	Indicated north Show slope/contours	
***************************************	enchmark:    Soil Borings:   Ift	ft ft ft
Date Completed:		



Area of Interest (AOI)



Shopping Cart (Free) Soil Data Explorer Download Soils Data Soil Map Add to Shopping Cart Printable Version

Search			
Map Unit	Legend		
	yon County, Minnesota ounty, Minnesota (MN	•	3)
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres Percent of AOI	
86	Canisteo clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	9.6	37.0%
421B	Amiret loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	9.4	36.2%
421B2	Amiret-Swanlake	2.2	8.4%

loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes

**Totals for Area of** 

Seaforth loam, 1 to

3 percent slopes

4.8

18.4%

25.9 100.0%

423

Interest



Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

You have zoomed in beyond the scale at which the soil map for this area is intended to be used. Map done at a particular scale. The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:12,000. The  $d\varepsilon$  units and the level of detail shown in the resulting soil map are dependent on that map scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of maps of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have bee more detailed scale.

FOIA | Accessibility Statement | Privacy Policy | Non-Discrimination Statement | Information Quality | USA.gov | White House